IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5010 of 2002

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

---

PATEL KOKILABEN ANIRUDHDHA D/O PATEL CHHOTALAL UMEDBHAI

Versus

UNION OF INDIA

---

Appearance:

MR PRADEEP PATEL for the Petitioner.

MR DN PATEL for Respondent No. 1

..... for Respondent No. 2

---

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 10/05/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. Mr.N.J. Shah, for Mr.D.N. Patel, waives service of rule on behalf of the respondent.

With the consent of the parties, the matter is

taken up for hearing today.

The petitioner is a passport holder. In the original passport, the birth date mentioned is 13th March, 1955, which is based on her School Leaving Certificate. But, according to the petitioner, the correct birth date is 17th April, 1955, which is mentioned in the Birth Certificate. The petitioner therafter, approached the authority and made an oral representation. However, the petitioner can submit a written representation within a period of two weeks from today.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the Authority is directed to decide the application, which may be submitted by the petitioner, as aforesaid, If such representation is made, the Authority may decide the representation of the petitioner for correcting the birth date in accordance with law and as per the Rules, and after considering the necessary evidence on record, may pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. From the evidence on record, if the Authority is satisfied that the grievance of the petitioner is justified and the correct birth date of the petitioner is 17.4.1955, then necessary orders correcting the entry may be passed and on that basis, corrected passport may be given to the petitioner. However, it is for the authority to appreciate the evidence and to pass appropriate order in accordance with law. The decision may be taken expeditiously and without any further delay.

Since the petitioner at the initial stage has not taken care for giving appropriate birth date, the petitioner is directed to pay costs of Rs.2,000/- to the authority. The said amount may be deposited with the Authority within a period of two weeks from today.

The petition is accordingly allowed. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent, with costs quantified as above.

Direct service is permitted.

10th May, 2002 ( P.B. Majmudar, J. )

***

(apj)